Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/680,778	KEARL, DANIEL A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tracy Dove	1745	

All Participants:

(1) *Tracy Dove*.

(2) *Julia Dierker*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *7 December 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
No rejections of record.

Claims discussed:
claims 1-34, 36-41 and 77-83

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner telephoned Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to correct formal matters regarding the claimed invention. The proposed changes overcome 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd, issues. Applicant agreed to the changes proposed by the Examiner.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)